



**AGENDA
FOR HUMANITY**
5 CORE RESPONSIBILITIES
24 TRANSFORMATIONS



**Annual Report on World Humanitarian
Summit Commitments - CDA Collaborative Learning
Projects
2016**



Stakeholder Information

Organisation Name

CDA Collaborative Learning Projects

Organisational Type

NGO - International

City and Country where Headquartered

Cambridge, United States of America

Focal Point Name

Kiely Barnard-Webster

Region

Global

Twitter ID



1C Remain engaged and invest in stability

Where did your organization stand on these issues prior to making these commitments

The Peace Promise aligns strongly with CDA Collaborative Learning Projects' long-term focus on supporting conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding integration where appropriate, among humanitarian actors. Three of our four primary practice areas relate directly to this commitment, namely Conflict Sensitivity, Peacebuilding Effectiveness, and Accountability & Feedback Loops.

Achievements at a glance

Relevant recent practitioner resource publications include:

- Nicole Goddard and Dilshan Annaraj. Conflict Sensitivity Meta-Trends Analysis. CDA and World Vision International, 2017. <http://cdacollaborative.org/publication/conflict-sensitivity-meta-trends-analysis/>
- CDA. Designing Strategic Initiatives to Impact Conflict Systems: Systems Approaches to Peacebuilding: A Resource Manual. 2016. <http://cdacollaborative.org/publication/designing-strategic-initiatives-impact-conflict-systems-systems-approaches-peacebuilding/>
- CDA. Do No Harm Workshop: Trainer's Manual. 2016. <http://cdacollaborative.org/publication/no-harm-workshop-trainers-manual-2016/>
- CDA. Do No Harm Workshop: Participant's Manual. 2016. <http://cdacollaborative.org/publication/no-harm-workshop-participants-manual-2016/>
- ARK Group DMCC. The Syrian conflict: A systems conflict analysis. ARK, 2016. (CDA facilitated multi-stakeholder Syria systems analysis and produced first draft of report). <http://cdacollaborative.org/publication/the-syrian-conflict-a-systems-conflict-analysis/>

How is your organization assessing progress

Among other measures, we track partner requests for engagement/action, partner surveys, and publication references and citations. This provides not only feedback on our own performance, but also a relevant 'read' on how priorities and needs are evolving among humanitarian and development partners.

Challenges faced in implementation

Conflict sensitivity continues to struggle for 'space' and consistent uptake on the humanitarian agenda, which is what makes shared commitments like the Peace Promise particularly important and valuable.

Next step to advance implementation in 2017

Among other goals, we are publishing (with the Peacebuilding Evaluation Coalition) new guidance on conflict-sensitive monitoring and evaluation, and pursuing a new collaborative learning project on the monitoring and evaluation of conflict sensitivity. Both projects include a significant emphasis on humanitarian action.

If you had one message for the annual report on what is most needed to advance the transformation Remain engaged and invest in stability , what would it be

Collectively, there is need to be more proactive in identifying, mitigating and/or preventing the drivers of violent conflict, and in aligning our organizational accountability and incentive structures to the specific Peace Promise commitments that will move us toward that goal.

Tag with other relevant transformations, keywords, initiatives

Keywords

- Accountability to affected people

Specific Initiatives

- The Peace Promise

Agenda for Humanity

- 4A - Reinforce, do not replace, national and local systems